EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK	X
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	
-against-	VERDICT SHEET
JOAQUIN ARCHIVALDO GUZMAN LO	09 CR 466 (BMC) (S-4) ERA,
Defendant.	
	X
Count One (Engaging in a Continuing Count One)	riminal Enterprise)
Verdict on Count One:	
Guilty Not Gui	ilty
Answer the following question only count.	if you have found the defendant guilty of this
Indicate below which three or more reasonable doubt were part of a contra	violations you have unanimously found beyond a inuing series of violations:
International Cocaine Distribution w	ith the Norte del Valle Cartel
Violation One (International January 2005)	Distribution of Cocaine – 3,200 kilograms –
Proven	Not Proven
Violation Two (International August-September 2004)	Distribution of Cocaine – 12,000 kilograms –
Proven	Not Proven
Violation Three (Internationa August-September 2004)	l Distribution of Cocaine – 10,500 kilograms –
Proven	Not Proven

July 2004)	Distribution of Cocaine – 10,000 kilograms –
Proven	Not Proven
Violation Five (International D May-June 2004)	istribution of Cocaine – 10,000 kilograms –
Proven	Not Proven
Violation Six (International Dis 2004)	stribution of Cocaine – 800 kilograms – April
Proven	Not Proven
Violation Seven (International March-April 2004)	Distribution of Cocaine – 10,000 kilograms –
Proven	Not Proven
Violation Eight (International I January-March 2004)	Distribution of Cocaine – 8,000 kilograms –
Proven	Not Proven
Violation Nine (International D January 2004)	Distribution of Cocaine – 6,465 kilograms –
Proven	Not Proven
Violation Ten (International Di November-December 2003)	stribution of Cocaine – 6,000 kilograms –
Proven	Not Proven
Violation Eleven (International August-September 2003)	Distribution of Cocaine – 3,600 kilograms –
Proven	Not Proven
Violation Twelve (International April 21, 1993)	l Distribution of Cocaine – 7,300 kilograms -
Proven	Not Proven

International Distribution of Cocaine with the Cifuentes-Villa Organization

	tion Thirteen (International D mber 2008)	Distribution of Cocaine – 450 kilograms –
	Proven	Not Proven
	tion Fourteen (International I per 2009)	Distribution of Cocaine – 8,300 kilograms –
	Proven	Not Proven
	tion Fifteen (International Disary 2009)	stribution of Cocaine – 7,500 kilograms –
	Proven	Not Proven
International	Distribution of Cocaine with	Other South American Suppliers
	tion Sixteen (International Ditember 2008)	stribution of Cocaine – 4,716 kilograms
	Proven	Not Proven
	tion Seventeen (International tember 2008)	Distribution of Cocaine – 5,000 kilograms
	Proven	Not Proven
	tion Eighteen (International I sch 2007)	Distribution of Cocaine – 19,000 kilograms
	Proven	Not Proven
	tion Nineteen (International I ry 2014)	Distribution of Cocaine – 403 kilograms –
	Proven	Not Proven

Distribution of Cocaine, Heroin, and Marijuana

Violation Twenty (Distribute 2003)	tion of Cocaine – 1,997 kilograms – January
Proven	Not Proven
Violation Twenty-One (Dis 2002)	tribution of Cocaine – 1,952 kilograms – August
Proven	Not Proven
Violation Twenty-Two (Dis 2002)	stribution of Cocaine – 1,923 kilograms – May
Proven	Not Proven
Violation Twenty-Three (D September 1999)	istribution of Cocaine – 1,100 kilograms –
Proven	Not Proven
Violation Twenty-Four (Dis 2012)	stribution of Marijuana – 409 kilograms – January
Proven	Not Proven
Violation Twenty-Five (Dis 2008)	stribution of Heroin – 20 kilograms – November
Proven	Not Proven
Violation Twenty-Six (Dist	ribution of Cocaine – 926 kilograms – May 1990)
Proven	Not Proven
er the following question onl Three, or Four.	y if you have found the defendant guilty of Counts
Violation Twenty-Seven (C September 2014)	Conspiracy to Commit Murder – January 1989-
Proven	Not Proven

Answe One.	er the following quest	ons only if you have found the defendant guilty of Count
		proved beyond a reasonable doubt that at least one we determined to be proven involved at least 150?
	Yes	No
	received \$10 million	proved beyond a reasonable doubt that the enterprise or more in gross receipts during at least one 12-month afacture, importation, or distribution of cocaine?
	Yes	No
	_	proved beyond a reasonable doubt that the defendant was pal administrators, organizers, or leaders of the enterprise
	Yes	No
Manufacture	e and Distribution Co	e, Heroin, Methamphetamine and Marijuana onspiracy)
<u>Verdict on Co</u> Guilty	<u></u>	Not Guilty
Answe		on only if you have found the defendant guilty of this
	_	proved beyond a reasonable doubt that the offense ms or more of cocaine?
	Yes	No
		proved beyond a reasonable doubt that the offense m or more of heroin?
	Yes	No
	_	proved beyond a reasonable doubt that the offense or more of methamphetamine?

No _____

Yes _____

involved 1,000 kilograms or more of marijuana?		
Y	es	No
Count Three (Cocain	e Importation Con	spiracy)
Verdict on Count Thre	<u>e:</u>	
Guilty		Not Guilty
Answer the foll count.	owing question onl	y if you have found the defendant guilty of this
	government proved five kilograms or i	beyond a reasonable doubt that the offense more of cocaine?
Y	'es	No
Count Four (Cocaine	Distribution Cons	piracy)
Verdict on Count Four	<u>.</u>	
Guilty		Not Guilty
Answer the foll count.	owing question onl	y if you have found the defendant guilty of this
	government proved five kilograms or i	beyond a reasonable doubt that the offense more of cocaine?
Y	'es	No
Count Five (Internati	onal Distribution	of Cocaine)
Note: This is the same	offense charged as	Violation Thirteen in Count One.
Verdict on Count Five	-	
Guilty		Not Guilty
Answer the foll	owing question onl	y if you have found the defendant guilty of this

Has the government proved beyond a reasonable doubt that the offense involved five kilograms or more of cocaine?
Yes No
<u>Count Six</u> (International Distribution of Cocaine)
Note: This is the same offense charged as Violation Two in Count One.
Verdict on Count Six:
Guilty Not Guilty
Answer the following question only if you have found the defendant guilty of this count.
Has the government proved beyond a reasonable doubt that the offense involved five kilograms or more of cocaine?
Yes No
<u>Count Seven</u> (International Distribution of Cocaine)
Note: This is the same offense charged as Violation Three in Count One.
<u>Verdict on Count Seven:</u>
Guilty Not Guilty
Answer the following question only if you have found the defendant guilty of this count.
Has the government proved beyond a reasonable doubt that the offense involved five kilograms or more of cocaine?
Yes No
Count Eight (International Distribution of Cocaine)
Note: This is the same offense charged as Violation Eight in Count One.
Verdict on Count Eight:
Guilty Not Guilty
Answer the following question only if you have found the defendant guilty of this count.

	nt proved beyond a reasonable do rams or more of cocaine?	oubt that the offense
Yes	No	
Count Nine (Use of Firearms)		
Note: Only consider this charge is charges in Counts One through Fe		uilty of one or more of the
Verdict on Count Nine:		
Guilty	Not Guilty	
Answer the following que count.	stion only if you have found the	defendant guilty of this
	nt proved beyond a reasonable do Count Nine was brandished?	oubt that one or more of the
Yes	No	
_	nt proved beyond a reasonable do Count Nine was discharged?	oubt that one or more of the
Yes	No	
	nt proved beyond a reasonable do Count Nine was a machinegun?	oubt that one or more of the
Yes	No	
Count Ten (Conspiracy to Laur	nder Narcotics Proceeds)	
Verdict on Count Ten:		
Guilty	Not Guilty	
Dated: Brooklyn, New York February, 2019	Forenerson	